(c) There is a slight reduction in the production of foodgrains during 1995-96 as compared to the production level of 191.1 million tonnes in the previous year i.e. 1994-95. The lower production of foodgrains during 1995-96 is mainly attributed to:

(i) Delay in on-set of monsoon adversely affecting the coverage of area under coarse cereals;

(ii) Floods in the later part of the monsoon season adversely affecting standing paddy crop in northern States;

(iii) Marginal reduction in area under wheat;

(iv) Reduction in fertiliser consumption during rabi season of 1995-96; and

(v) Abnormal rise in day temperature in late February and early March causing reduction in yield of wheat crop.

(d) Crop-wise per hectare production (Yield) of foodgrains and cash crops during 1994-95 is as follows:

Crop	Yield (Kgs./hect.)	Crop	Yield (Kgs./hect.)
Rice	1921	Rapeseed & Mustard	944
Wheat	2553	Total Oilseeds	848
Coarse Cereals	934	Cotton	260
Pulses	609	Sugarcane	71099
Total Foodgrains	1547	Jute	1983
Groundnut	1048	Mesta	1100

STATEMENT

STATEMENT SHOWING STATE-WISE PRODUCTION OF FOODGRAINS FOR 1995-96

Production '000 Tonnes

State	Total Foodgrains Production
Andhra Pradesh	12238
Assam	2583
Bihar	13632
Gujarat	4052
Haryana	10550
Himachal Pradesh	1509
Jammu & Kashmir	1448
Karnataka	9351
Kerala	1017
Madhya Pradesh	18578
Maharashtra	11849
Orissa	7331
Punjab	21238
Rajasthan	10432
Tamil Nadu	9627
Uttar Pradesh	39274
West Bengal	13597
Others	2050
All India	190356

Chemicals and Fertilizers Units

4955. SHRI DATTA MEGHE:
SHRI KACHARU BHAU RAUT:

Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of chemicals and fertilizers units running in the country, state-wise;

(b) the number of the sick units out of them; and

(c) the steps proposed to be taken to make these sick industries economically viable?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI SIS RAM OLA): (a) State-wise number of fertilizer units in the country are:

	Name of the State	No. of Fert. Plants
1.	Andhra pradesh	8
2.	Kerala	3
3.	Karnataka	1
4.	Tamil Nadu	10
5.	Goa	1
6.	Madhya Pradesh	9
7.	Maharashtra	15
8.	Gujarat	15
9.	Rajasthan	10
10.	Bihar	8
11.	Orissa	5
12.	West Bengal	9
13.	Assam	4
14.	Haryana	5
15.	Punjab	10
16.	Uttar Pradesh	26
		139

In addition, Projects & Development India Ltd. (PDIL) which is mainly an Engineering & Consultancy Organisation has a Catalyst Manufacturing unit located in the State of Bihar.

As far as the number of units in the Chemical sector is concerned, most of the industries in this sector have been decentralised.

State-wise data is, therefore, not available in the Ministry. There are 8 Central Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) in the Chemical sector.

1. Indian Petrochemicals Corpn. Ltd. (IPCL).
2. Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd. (HOCL).
3. Hindustan Insecticides Ltd. (HIL).
4. Hindustan Antibiotics Ltd. (HAL).
5. Indian Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (IDPL).
6. Bengal Chemicals & Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (BCPL).
7. Bengal Immunity Ltd., (BIL).
8. Smith Stanistreet Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (SSPL).

There are also six Joint Sector Undertakings, namely Uttar Pradesh Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (UPDPL), Rajasthan Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (RDPL), Orissa Drugs and Chemicals Ltd. (ODCL), Karnataka Antibiotics and Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (KAPL), Manipur State Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (MSDPL) and Maharastra Antibiotics and Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (MAPL) two subsidiaries, namely Hindustan Fluorocarbons Ltd. (HFC) and Southern Pesticides Corpn. Ltd. (SPEC) and one multi-state cooperative, namely, Petrofils Cooperatives Ltd. (PCL).

(b) Two fertilizer manufacturing Central Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) namely, Fertilizer Corporation of India Ltd. (FCI) and Hindustan Fertilizer Corporation Limited (HFC) as well as PDIL had been declared as sick companies by the Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction (BIFR) under the provisions of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1995 on 6.11. 1992, 12.11.92 and 17.12.92 respectively. In addition, 16 fertilizer enterprises in the private sector had been registered as sick companies with BIFR upto 31.7.96. The revival plans for sick fertilizer companies in the private sector are formulated by their respective promoters.

The following chemical units have been formally declared sick by the BIFR with effect from the dates mentioned against them.

S.No.	Name of the company	Date from which BIFR declared the companies sick
1.	IDPL	12.8.92
2.	BCPL	14.1.93
3.	BIL	9.3.93
4.	SSPL	21.12.92
5.	UPDPL	30.12.92
6.	ODCL	26.10.92
7.	HFL	1.08.94
8.	SPEC	15.09.94

(c) In order to restore the financial health of the two sick PSUs namely, FCI and HFC, the Government had approved in principle a revival scheme which envisaged the revamp of Sindri, Ramagundam and Talcher Units of FCI; and Durgapur, Barauni and Namrup units of HFC, entailing a fresh investment of Rs. 2201.13 crore (Rs. 1736.20 crore for FCI and Rs. 464.93 crore for HFC) at 1994 price levels, apart from other financial reliefs to these undertakings. The revamp of Haldia Project of HFC and Gorakhpur unit of FCI was not found to be techno-economically viable. It is proposed to attract private capital for their rehabilitation. The funding arrangements for these packages could not be tied up. In order to minimise the requirement of budgetary support for rehabilitation of the sick PSUs, an expert Group has been constituted to reformulate the revival packages from the stand point of funding by the Financial Institutions (FIs). The revival package in respect of PDIL is being reformulated based on the recast report of the Operating Agency viz. the Industrial Credit and Investment Corporation of India Limited (ICICI). The implementation of the final revival packages in respect of these sick Central PSUs is contingent upon the approval of the BIFR, which is a quasi-judicial authority.

For companies in the Chemical sector, revival packages have been finalized and are under implementation in all the sick companies except IDPL, UPDPL, HFL, SPEC. For IDPL, a new package is being formulated by the operating agency viz. IDBI. A revival scheme for the UPDPL was sanctioned by BIFR on 22.8.1995. But before the implementation of the package could be taken up, the bankers of UPDPL, namely, IOB and Bank of Baroda, and PICUP one of the promoters, filed an appeal each before the Appellate Authority for Industrial Financial Reconstruction (AAIFR). The revival Plans of SPEC and HFL are before BIFR.